An Open Label Pilot Study of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Pregnant Women with Major Depressive Disorder
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Abstract

Objective: Despite the data that major depressive disorder (MDD) is common during pregnancy and that pregnant women prefer nonmedication treatment options, there is a paucity of research examining alternative treatments for this special population. We present the results of an open label pilot study examining treatment with transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) in pregnant women with MDD.

Methods: Ten women with MDD in the second or third trimester of pregnancy were treated with 20 sessions of 1-Hz TMS at 100% of motor threshold (MT) to the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. The total study dose was 6000 pulses. Antenatal monitoring was performed during treatment sessions 1, 10, and 20.

Results: Seven of ten (70%) subjects responded (decrease ≥50% in Hamilton Depression Rating Scale [HDRS-17] scores). No adverse pregnancy or fetal outcomes were observed. All infants were admitted to the well baby nursery and were discharged with the mother. Mild headache was the only common adverse event and was reported by 4 of 10 (40%) subjects.

Conclusions: TMS appears to be a promising treatment option for pregnant women who do not wish to take antidepressant medications.

Introduction

There are roughly 4 million live births each year in the United States. With approximately 13% of pregnant women meeting criteria for major depressive disorder (MDD),¹² about 0.5 million pregnancies are complicated by depression per year. Women who are depressed during pregnancy are less likely to get prenatal care and more likely to abuse drugs and alcohol.³⁵ In addition, depression during pregnancy is associated with poorer obstetrical outcomes, such as preterm birth,⁶,⁷ preeclampsia,⁸ lower birth weight,⁹,¹⁰ and higher rates of infant admission to neonatal care units.¹¹ Importantly, depression during pregnancy usually continues into the postnatal period,¹² and maternal depression is known to have adverse effects on maternal-infant bonding as well as child development and behavior.¹³–¹⁸

Recently, expert consensus guidelines were published by the American Psychiatric Association (APA) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) regarding the treatment of women with depression during pregnancy.² The guidelines recommend that psychotherapy be used for pregnant women with mild to moderate depression and antidepressants be prescribed for pregnant women with moderate to severe depression. Although most antidepressants are generally considered to be safe, there are risks associated with fetal exposure to these drugs, and as a result, some women elect not to take antidepressants during pregnancy. One potential option for such women is repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), a neuromodulation treatment that would not expose the fetus to medications.

TMS has been shown to be an efficacious treatment for MDD and is currently U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved for adults with depression who have failed a single antidepressant trial in the current depressive episode.¹⁹–²¹ TMS involves noninvasive delivery of focused magnetic pulses to targeted areas of the cerebral cortex. This causes local neuronal depolarization approximately 3 cm in depth from the coil surface, with an active area of
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depolarization on the cortex that is estimated to be 2 cm². The magnetic field, approximately 1.5 Tesla in intensity, can be pulsed at different frequencies to produce excitatory or inhibitory effects on cortical neurons. In depression, the target is the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), which is thought to be abnormally hyperactive or hypoactive (depending on laterality). Although the neuronal effects of TMS are not completely understood, TMS frequencies ≤1 Hz have mostly inhibitory neuronal effects by means of preferentially activating gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic interneurons in the cortex, resulting in transsynaptic depression of pyramidal cell glutamatergic output. TMS frequencies ≥1 Hz are believed to have mostly glutamatergic or excitatory neuronal effects. Both left high frequency (HFL) and right low frequency TMS (LFR) of the DLPFC have been shown to be more effective than a matched placebo (sham stimulation condition) in patients with depression.

To date, there have been only 6 case reports of the use of TMS for MDD during pregnancy. In all cases, there was improvement in maternal depressive symptoms and functioning. Although few details were provided, all neonates were reported to be in good general health. As there are as yet no systematic studies of TMS in depressed pregnant women, we conducted a standardized open label case series to further evaluate the feasibility, potential utility, and safety of this novel therapy for pregnant women with MDD.

**Subjects**

Eligible participants were women 18–39 years old, 14–34 weeks gestational age, with a DSM-IV diagnosis of MDD and in a current major depressive episode (MDE), based on a semistructured assessment with the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI). Women were recruited from the Penn Perinatal Mood and Anxiety Program, with referrals through word of mouth and paid advertising. Subjects were included regardless of antidepressant treatment status but had to be on a stable dose for at least 2 weeks before study entry. Antidepressant doses were held constant during the TMS treatments. Women had to be experiencing at least moderate symptoms of depression, as evidenced by a Clinical Global Impression Severity (CGI-S) scale ≥4 and a 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) score ≥14. Participants were not required to be in a treatment-resistant depressive episode. Participants with comorbid anxiety disorders were allowed as long as it was determined by clinical interview that the primary diagnosis was MDD.

Exclusionary criteria for study participation included a lifetime history of bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, or schizoaffective disorder; lack of response to an adequate trial of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT); prior treatment with TMS or a vagus nerve stimulator implant; a personal or close family history of a seizure disorder; presence of neurological disorder; current use of a known teratogenic medication; presence of ferromagnetic material in or in close proximity to the head; prior adverse pregnancy outcome; or current pregnancy complications. Routine laboratory studies (complete blood count [CBC], chemistry, thyroid-stimulating hormone [TSH], urine toxicology screen, and electrocardiogram) were performed at study screening, and subjects were required to be medically stable before entry. A Maternal-Fetal Medicine specialist screened and performed a physical examination for all subjects at study entry. In addition, fetal ultrasound and fetal heart rate monitoring were obtained before initiating the study.

**Study overview**

This single-site study was approved by the University of Pennsylvania. Institutional Review Board. The study was conducted under an Investigational Device Exemption from the FDA. All subjects signed an informed consent document before undergoing any study procedures.

The TMS sessions were delivered using the Neuronetics Model 2100 Therapy System (Neuronetics Inc., Malvern, Pennsylvania). There was no blinding procedure for patients, treaters, or raters, as treatment was open label. Psychiatric ratings were administered at baseline and after sessions 10 and 20.

**Treatment Parameters**

Treatment with 1-Hz LFR TMS was chosen over 10-Hz HFL TMS because of the anticonvulsant properties of the former. The treatment protocol was 20 daily sessions of TMS (300 pulses/session, 60-second trains, 60-second intertrain intervals) at 100% motor threshold (MT) based on prior studies with LFR TMS. A treatment session lasted for 10 minutes, for a total of 300 magnetic pulses delivered per session. The total study dose was 6000 pulses. MT was rechecked weekly. Stimulation was targeted to the right DLPFC based on a standardized surface anatomy approach. The figure-of-8 coil was advanced 5 cm anterior to the MT location along a right superior oblique plane with a rotation point about the tip of the patient’s nose. Spatial coordinates were recorded with a mechanical coil positioning system to ensure placement reproducibility. The MT estimation was repeated weekly by visual observation of thumb or other finger movement using the MT Assist (Neuronetics Inc.). The latter is a standardized, software-based mathematical algorithm that provides an iterated estimate of the MT. Sessions were generally administered Monday–Friday but could be made up on the weekends if missed or cancelled.

Maternal vital signs were checked at each treatment. In addition, uterine tocodynamometry and fetal heart rate monitoring were performed, supervised by a Maternal-Fetal Medicine specialist during treatments 1, 10, and 20. All treatments were performed in a perinatal evaluation center with access to oxygen, obstetrical nurses, and physicians as well as anesthesiologists. An attending psychiatrist (D.R.K.) or fellow (P.C.) administered all treatments.

**Feasibility and outcome assessments**

The percentage attendance at scheduled sessions was assessed as the primary outcome of feasibility. The primary efficacy outcome was the difference between the baseline 17-item HDRS score and the HDRS score after session 20. Secondary outcome measures were the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) after session 20. The CGI-S was also completed. Treatment response was defined as at least 50% reduction in the 17-item HDRS score from baseline to end of treatment. Remission was defined as an HDRS score <8 and a CGI-S = 1.
Safety assessments

Safety was assessed at every treatment visit by recording spontaneous adverse events that were coded using the current version of the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities. Posttreatment fetal growth ultrasound studies were obtained within 1 week of finishing treatment. Delivery records were obtained, and gestational age at delivery, infant weight and length, neonatal Apgar scores, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions, and congenital malformations were evaluated.

Statistical methods

Descriptive analyses were performed to characterize the study population and infant outcomes. This study comprised a single group with change in outcome assessed from baseline to study end point. For continuous variables (HDRS, CGI-I, CGI-S, BDI, BAI), Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare within-woman change in outcome, with significance set at \( p = 0.05 \).

Results

Subject characteristics

A total of 13 women signed consent for study participation at the screening visit. Three women were excluded from starting treatment for the following reasons: 1 fetal ultrasound showed intrauterine growth retardation, 1 fetal ultrasound showed multiple congenital malformations, and 1 potential participant was determined to have adjustment disorder with depressed mood rather than MDD and was referred for psychotherapy. Seven Caucasian and 3 African American women were enrolled for treatment after giving written informed consent and concluding the screening process. Subject characteristics at study entry are shown in Table 1. Fifty percent (5 of 10) had a comorbid anxiety disorder. The majority, 9 of 10 (90%) had an entry HDRS-17 \( > 20 \), indicating at least moderate depression severity. Also, 4 of 10 (40%) were on a current antidepressant. No changes were made in antidepressant dosing for at least 2 weeks before study entry. Subjects 2, 3, 4, and 5 (Fig. 1) were on antidepressants as follows: subject 2, bupropion SR 300 mg, escitalopram 20 mg; subject 3, escitalopram 10 mg; subject 4, sertraline 100 mg; subject 5, fluoxetine 40 mg. The MT was determined weekly. The average percentage of device power output to obtain the MT was 77.8% (standard deviation [SD] 12.8) at session 1 and 81% (SD 14.9) for sessions 6, 11, and 16.

Feasibility

All subjects completed all 20 planned sessions, although sessions were occasionally rescheduled because of illness or weather. No subjects dropped out of treatment due to intolerable side effects or symptom worsening.

Clinical response

Changes in HDRS-17 and CGI-S scores from baseline to week 4 were assessed (Fig. 1). Mean entry HDRS-17 was 24.4 (SD 5.2), and the mean posttreatment HDRS-17 was 9.7 (SD 6.1), showing a mean decrease of 60% \( (p = 0.005) \). Seven of 10 (70%) subjects had \( \geq 50\% \) improvement in HDRS-17 scores, indicating response. Significant improvements in other measures were also observed. Mean entry CGI-S was 4.6 (SD 0.5), and the mean posttreatment CGI-S was 2.4 (SD 0.9) \( (p = 0.004) \). Three participants (30%) had a post-TMS HDRS-17 score \( < 8 \) and CGI-S of \( \leq 1 \), indicating remission. The mean entry BDI and BAI were 33.2 (SD 9.2) and 18.8 (SD 12.5), respectively, and posttreatment they were 18.7 (SD 11.1) and 14.7 (SD 16.3), respectively. The BDI decreased by an average of 44%, which was significantly improved \( (p = 0.005) \), and there was little change in the BAI \( (p = 0.10) \). For all scales, there was no significant difference in response rates between the 4 participants on antidepressants and the 6 off antidepressants \( (p > 0.05) \). All subjects had 100% treatment compliance, attending every TMS session.

Maternal adverse events

No serious maternal adverse events were observed or reported. Mild headache was the only common adverse event and was reported by 4 of 10 (40%) subjects. Headaches

\[
\begin{array}{lccc}
\text{Table 1. Subject Characteristics} \\
\hline
\text{Pre-TMS} & \text{Post-TMS} & \text{p value} \\
\hline
\text{Mean age (SD), in years} & 31.2 (5.6) & NA & \\
\text{Mean gestational age (SD)} & 25.8 (5.16) & NA & \\
\text{Race} & 7 white, 3 African American & NA & \\
\text{Marital status} & 9 married, 1 single & NA & \\
\text{Concurrent antidepressant} & 4 yes, 6 no & NA & \\
\text{Mean HDRS-17 (SD)} & 24.4 (5.6) & 9.7 (6.1)* & 0.0050 \\
\text{Mean CGI-S (SD)} & 4.6 (0.5) & 1.7 (0.7)* & 0.0036 \\
\text{Mean BDI (SD)} & 33.2 (9.0) & 18.7 (11)* & 0.0050 \\
\text{Mean BAI (SD)} & 18.9 (12.5) & 14.7 (16.3) & 0.1022 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

*Significant.

BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression Severity Scale; HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; NA, not available; SD, standard deviation; TMS, transcranial magnetic stimulation.
typically lasted 1–2 hours after the TMS session during the first week of treatment. Maternal vital signs were monitored before and after treatments, and no significant posttreatment changes in heart rate or blood pressure were noted. One participant had an episode of supine hypotension during her tenth treatment session. She felt light-headed, and her blood pressure was 66/30. The treatment was stopped, she was repositioned, and the hypotension and lightheadedness resolved quickly. It did not recur in this participant during the rest of the treatments despite no change in the protocol or positioning of the participant.

**Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes**

Antenatal monitoring was done with uterine tocodynamometry (to assess uterine contractions) and fetal heart rate monitoring for 20 minutes before, during, and 20 minutes after TMS treatments at sessions 1, 10, and 20. One participant had a uterine contraction followed by a fetal heart rate deceleration at 18 minutes after treatment 20. Uterine tocodynammetry and fetal heart rate monitoring were extended for another hour, with no further events. This was determined by the study obstetrician to not be a study-related event. No other abnormal uterine contraction patterns were observed.

Except as noted, fetal heart rate tracings were normal during all monitoring sessions. Ultrasound studies conducted at baseline and within 1 week of the end of treatment showed that all fetuses were appropriately grown for gestational age. All infants were healthy at delivery (Table 2). All were born >37 weeks gestational age, with mean/median 1-minute and 5-minute Apgar scores of 7.9/8 and 8.75/9, respectively. All infants were admitted to the well baby nursery and were discharged with the mother. No major congenital anomalies were detected on the initial pediatric assessment.

Table 2. Pregnancy and Fetal Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offspring gender</th>
<th>4 male, 6 female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestational age at delivery (SD)</td>
<td>39 (0.32) weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean birth weight (gs) (SD)</td>
<td>3395.5 (458.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td>8 vaginal, 2 cesarean section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Apgar 1 minute (SD)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Apgar 5 minute (SD)</td>
<td>8.75 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major congenital malformations at birth</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU admissions</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.
concern, and as with many treatments, some patients will remain significantly symptomatic. ECT has been used safely in pregnant women but requires the use of anesthetic agents and neuromuscular blockers, again subjecting the fetus to the transplacental effects of pharmacological agents. There may also be risks, albeit small, of induction of premature labor and placental abruption. It is, thus, necessarily reserved for treatment of the most severe or incapacitating depressive episodes during pregnancy.

Among the study participants, there were no major pregnancy or neonatal complications. One participant had an episode of supine hypotension that resolved with repositioning. In sum, however, this only happened once out of a total of 200 sessions performed in this study. Supine hypotension is more common during pregnancy as a result of uterine pressure on the inferior vena cava. It may be wise to consider using a wedge under the lower back of pregnant patients so they are tilted slightly to the side. We considered doing this, but as this was a single event that was not observed in prior participants, we were not convinced it was a study-related event. Therefore, we elected to proceed without requiring wedges, and there was no recurrence of supine hypotension. Our sample size is too small to make any conclusive statements about the safety of TMS during pregnancy, but the early data are encouraging. In all 6 previously reported cases in the literature, all infants were reported to be healthy at delivery. In the first case reported in the literature, the patient received 14 sessions of HFL TMS beginning at week 22 of pregnancy. Her depression remitted, and she delivered a healthy 7.5-lb term infant.

The next 2 cases were reported nearly a decade later, in 2008. The first patient received 15 sessions of HFL TMS starting at 16 weeks of pregnancy. The second patient was treated with 15 sessions of LFR TMS starting at 31 weeks of pregnancy (300 pulses/session, 60-second trains, 60-second intertrain intervals) at 100% MT. This is the same design as our pilot study except we gave 20 sessions. Both patients had 50% reduction in depression scores. The second infant (who was also exposed to venlafaxine) was born slightly preterm at 36 weeks, but both infants were reported to be healthy. Most recently, in 2009, an additional 3 cases were reported. No details about the frequency, duration, or number of pulses/session were included. The authors provided a general statement that the infants were born in good health.

With so few safe and effective options for the treatment of depression during pregnancy, it is crucial that novel techniques be studied. Ideally, treatments for depression during pregnancy would have a rapid onset of therapeutic action as well as no impact on fetal development. We propose that repetitive TMS is one such potential option. We have tested this hypothesis in an open label pilot trial of 10 women and have found that TMS has the potential to be a feasible and efficacious treatment for depression during pregnancy. In addition, these data indicate that dosing parameters of TMS may differ in pregnant women with respect to the MT. Given these promising results, a randomized, sham/placebo controlled trial is warranted.
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